

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/700,452	10/700,452 11/05/2003		Yasuhito Soma	HYAE:095C	6376	
6160	7590	06/17/2005		EXAM	INER	
PARKHUI 1421 PRIN		ENDEL, L.L.P.	HUBER, PAUL W			
SUITE 210				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ALEXAND	ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314-2805				2653	
				DATE MAIL ED: 06/17/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Cummans	10/700,452	SOMA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Paul Huber	2653				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from t, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	nce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 9 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) g is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	14:					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc	☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	kaminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form P1O-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority document						
Certified copies of the priority document	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 09/492,269.					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Burea	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
2) D Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>110503</u>. 	6) Other:	atom Application (F + C+146)				

The specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Specifically, claim 9 recites the limitation "the second control means" in line 20. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 9 is rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 7 of U.S. Patent No. 6,473,373. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because as noted by the Federal Circuit in *Eli Lilly v. Barr*, "[a] a patentable distinction does not lie where a later claim is anticipated by an earlier one." See also *In re Berg* and *In re Goodman* which established that a later genus claim limitation is anticipated by, and therefore not patentably distinct from, an earlier species claim.

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claim 9 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and if a terminal disclaimer is timely filed as explained above.

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: the prior art of record considered as a whole fails to teach or suggest a semiconductor integrated circuit device used for an optical disc apparatus having converging means for converging a light spot on the surface of an optical disc, an optical head which has first moving means for moving the light spot, which is applied to the surface of an optical disc, radially across the surface of an optical disc, and second moving means for moving the optical head radially across the surface of the optical disc, for recording or reproducing information, comprising: spot position detection means for generating a spot position signal which indicates a positional difference in a radial direction of the optical disc, between a center of the optical head

Application/Control Number: 10/700,452

Art Unit: 2653

and the light spot on a receiving element on the optical head, from an electrical signal outputted by the optical head; control means for causing a traverse loop filter to filter the spot position signal and outputting the processed signal to the second moving means; and a coefficient multiplier for reducing a control means control coefficient to a value smaller than a normal operation value, at startup of the control means. (bold language emphasized)

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Paul Huber at telephone number 571-272-7588.

Paul Huber Primary Examiner Art Unit 2653

pwh June 7, 2005